

New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/16/2006 03:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: board of advisers presentation

I'll be here for a while, I just wanted to make sure. If you send it to me anytime before 5 I can look at it in time. If not, I'll try my best to look at it en route tomorrow.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:51 PM

**To:**

**Subject:** Re: board of advisers presentation

I haven't sent it yet. If you need to leave early, you can look at what I have so far, which does not have the intro or the text regarding the final report. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang"

05/16/2006 03:47 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject board of advisers presentation

Hi Peg, Have you tried to send me the presentation? I haven't gotten it, but I think we may be having email problems. Let me know. I'd need to look at it today since I'll be tied up tomorrow.  
Tova

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

012986

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/16/2006 10:58 AM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Your Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/15/2006 09:56 AM

To dromig@eac.gov

cc psims@eac.gov

Subject RE: I'm sorry

Great -- thanks so much and apologies for the false alarm.

-----Original Message-----

012987

**From:** dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 15, 2006 8:51 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** RE: I'm sorry

This article is on the CD, it is located in the "Nexis Article Charts" folder.

Devon Romig  
United States Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.566.2377 phone  
202.566.3128 fax  
www.eac.gov

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/15/2006 09:26 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc dromig@eac.gov  
Subject RE: I'm sorry

Thats good. I'm probably just getting crazy, trying to make sure everything is perfect. Devon, maybe you can check? Otherwise I'll check it when it comes. Thanks. And be well Peg.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, May 15, 2006 8:23 AM  
**To:** Tova Andrea Wang  
**Subject:** Re: I'm sorry

Tova:

I think you did send this --- or is this a revised version of one you sent earlier? It should be on the CD in the packet you should receive today.. (Can't check that right now as I am at the clinic.) If I put anything on the CD that you want to highlight at the meeting, let me know and we'll make copies for those attending.

Peggy

-----  
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

----- Original Message -----

**From:** "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

012988

**Sent:** 05/15/2006 09:07 AM  
**To:** Margaret Sims  
**Cc:** Devon Romig  
**Subject:** I'm sorry

I don't think I sent this to you either. Can we hand it out at the meeting as an addendum? Its another summary that would have gone in the news article section. I'm usually so organized, I'm very embarrassed. Too many things! Thanks

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 08:43 AM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group 

Here is the content of the email attachment:

### **Existing Research Analysis**

There are many reports and books that describe anecdotes and draw broad conclusions from a large array of incidents. There is little research that is truly systematic or scientific. The most systematic look at fraud is the report written by Lori Minnite. The most systematic look at voter intimidation is the report by Laughlin McDonald. Books written about this subject seem to all have a political bias and a pre-existing agenda that makes them somewhat less valuable.

Researchers agree that measuring something like the incidence of fraud and intimidation in a scientifically legitimate way is extremely difficult from a methodological perspective and would require resources beyond the means of most social and political scientists. As a result, there is much more written on this topic by advocacy groups than social scientists. It is hoped that this gap will be filled in the "second phase" of this EAC project.

Moreover, reports and books make allegations but, perhaps by their nature, have little follow up. As a result, it is difficult to know when something has remained in the stage

012989

of being an allegation and gone no further, or progressed to the point of being investigated or prosecuted or in any other way proven to be valid by an independent, neutral entity. This is true, for example, with respect to allegations of voter intimidation by civil rights organizations, and, with respect to fraud, John Fund's frequently cited book. Again, this is something that it is hoped will be addressed in the "second phase" of this EAC project by doing follow up research on allegations made in reports, books and newspaper articles.

Other items of note:

- There is as much evidence, and as much concern, about structural forms of disenfranchisement as about intentional abuse of the system. These include felon disenfranchisement, poor maintenance of databases and identification requirements.
- There is tremendous disagreement about the extent to which polling place fraud, e.g. double voting, intentional felon voting, noncitizen voting, is a serious problem. On balance, more researchers find it to be less of a problem than is commonly described in the political debate, but some reports say it is a major problem, albeit hard to identify.
- There is substantial concern across the board about absentee balloting and the opportunity it presents for fraud.
- Federal law governing election fraud and intimidation is varied and complex and yet may nonetheless be insufficient or subject to too many limitations to be as effective as it might be.
- Deceptive practices, e.g. targeted flyers and phone calls providing misinformation, were a major problem in 2004.
- Voter intimidation continues to be focused on minority communities, although the American Center for Voting Rights uniquely alleges it is focused on Republicans.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
>

05/15/2006 04:53 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Peggy --

I am currently on train in transit back from a day in Newark. I tried to recover your attachment on Blackberry but got a message telling me the "file is empty."

012930

Can you paste it to an e-mail perhaps?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org <barnwine@lawyerscommittee.org>;  
Rbauer@perkinscoie.com <Rbauer@perkinscoie.com>; bginsberg@pattonboggs.com  
<bginsberg@pattonboggs.com>; mhearne@lathropgage.com  
<mhearne@lathropgage.com>; jrperez50@sbcglobal.net <jrperez50@sbcglobal.net>;  
krogers@sos.state.ga.us <krogers@sos.state.ga.us>; assistant@sos.in.gov  
<assistant@sos.in.gov>; weinutr@verizon.net <weinutr@verizon.net>  
CC: jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org <jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org>;  
vjohnson@lawyerscommittee.org <vjohnson@lawyerscommittee.org>;  
dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com <dlovecchio@perkinscoie.com>;  
bschuler@lathropgage.com <bschuler@lathropgage.com>; Donsanto, Craig  
<Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Mon May 15 16:37:48 2006  
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Dear Working Group Members and Participants:

You should receive a packet of information today, either by Federal Express or hand delivery, concerning Thursday's meeting of the project Working Group for EAC's Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation research project. Attached is an analysis of the consultants' research into relevant literature and reports. This summary was not available when we prepared the information packets last Friday, but may be of interest to you. Our consultants and I look forward to having a productive discussion with you.

Regards,

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/19/2006 02:51 PM

To Craig Donsanto

cc

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Craig;

012991

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud  
Voter and fraud  
Vote and fraud  
Voter and challenge  
Vote and challenge  
Election and challenge  
Election and irregularity  
Election and irregularities  
Election and violation  
Election and stealing  
Ballot box and tampering  
Ballot box and theft  
Ballot box and stealing  
Election and officers  
Election and Sheriff  
Miscount and votes  
Election and crime  
Election and criminal  
Vote and crime  
Vote and criminal  
Double voting  
Multiple voting  
Dead and voting  
Election and counting and violation  
Election and counting and error  
Vote and counting and violation  
Vote and counting and error  
Voter and intimidation  
Voter and intimidating  
Vote and intimidation  
Denial and voter and registration  
Voter identification  
Vote and identification  
Voter and racial profiling  
Vote and racial profiling  
Voter and racial  
Vote and racial  
Voter and racial and challenge  
Vote and racial and challenge  
Voter and deny and racial  
Vote and deny and racial  
Voter and deny and challenge  
Vote and deny and challenge

Voter and deny and black  
Vote and deny and black  
Voter and black and challenge  
Vote and black and challenge  
Voter and deny and African American  
Vote and deny and African American  
Voter and African American and challenge  
Vote and African American and challenge  
Election and black and challenge  
Election and African American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Hispanic  
Voter and deny and Latino  
Vote and deny and Hispanic  
Vote and deny and Latino  
Voter and Hispanic and challenge  
Voter and Latino and challenge  
Vote and Hispanic and challenge  
Vote and Latino and challenge  
Election and Hispanic and challenge  
Election and Latino and challenge  
Voter and deny and Native American  
Vote and deny and Native American  
Voter and Native American and challenge  
Vote and Native American and challenge  
Election and Native American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Asian American  
Vote and deny and Asian American  
Voter and Asian American and challenge  
Vote and Asian American and challenge  
Voter and Asian American and challenge  
Election and Asian American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Indian  
Vote and deny and Indian  
Voter and Indian and challenge  
Vote and Indian and challenge  
Election and Indian and challenge  
Poll tax  
Voting and test  
Absentee ballot and deny  
Absentee ballot and reject  
Absentee ballot and challenge  
Vote and challenge  
Voter and challenge  
Election and challenge  
Vote and police



Voter and police  
Poll and police  
Vote and law enforcement  
Voter and law enforcement  
Poll and law enforcement  
Vote and deceptive practices  
Voter and deceptive practices  
Election and deceptive practices  
Voter and deceive  
Voter and false information  
Dirty tricks  
Vote and felon  
Vote and ex-felon  
Disenfranchisement  
Disenfranchise  
Law and election and manipulation  
Vote and purging  
Vote and purge  
Registration and removal  
Registration and purging  
Registration and purge  
Vote buying  
Vote and noncitizen  
Voter and noncitizen  
Vote and selective enforcement  
Identification and selective  
Election and misinformation  
Registration and restrictions  
Election and administrator and fraud  
Election and official and fraud  
Provisional ballot and deny  
Provisional ballot and denial  
Affidavit ballot and deny  
Affidavit ballot and denial  
Absentee ballot and coerce  
Absentee ballot and coercion  
Registration and destruction  
Voter and deter  
Vote and deterrence  
Voter and deterrence  
Ballot integrity  
Ballot security  
Ballot security and minority  
Ballot security and black  
Ballot security and African American

Ballot security and Latino  
Ballot security and Hispanic  
Ballot security and Native American  
Ballot security and Indian  
Vote and suppression  
Minority and vote and suppression  
Black and vote and suppression  
African American and vote and suppression  
Latino and vote and suppression  
Hispanic and vote and suppression  
Native American and vote and suppression  
Vote and suppress  
Minority and vote and suppress  
African American and vote and suppress  
Latino and vote and suppress  
Native American and vote and suppress  
Vote and depress  
Jim Crow  
Literacy test  
Voter and harass  
Voter and harassment  
Vote and mail and fraud  
Poll and guards  
Election and consent decree  
Vote and barrier  
Voting and barrier  
Voter and barrier  
Election and long line  
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge  
Poll worker and intimidate  
Poll worker and intimidation  
Poll worker and intimidating  
Poll worker and threatening  
Poll worker and abusive  
Election official and challenge  
Election official and intimidate  
Election official and intimidation  
Election official and intimidating  
Election official and threatening  
Election official and abusive  
Poll watcher and challenge  
Poll watcher and intimidate  
Poll watcher and intimidating

Poll watcher and intimidation  
Poll watcher and abusive  
Poll watcher and threatening  
Poll inspector and challenge  
Poll inspector and intimidate  
Poll inspector and intimidating  
Poll inspector and intimidation  
Poll inspector and abusive  
Poll inspector and threatening  
Poll judge and challenge  
Poll judge and intimidate  
Poll judge and intimidating  
Poll judge and intimidation  
Poll judge and abusive  
Poll judge and threatening  
Poll monitor and challenge  
Poll monitor and intimidate  
Poll monitor and intimidating  
Poll monitor and intimidation  
Poll monitor and abusive  
Poll monitor and threatening  
Election judge and challenge  
Election judge and intimidate  
Election judge and intimidating  
Election judge and intimidation  
Election judge and abusive  
Election judge and threatening  
Election monitor and challenge  
Election monitor and intimidate  
Election monitor and intimidating  
Election monitor and intimidation  
Election monitor and abusive  
Election monitor and threatening  
Election observer and challenge  
Election observer and intimidate  
Election observer and intimidating  
Election observer and intimidation  
Election observer and abusive  
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/16/2006 03:37 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials 

012996

OK. --- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

05/16/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

Let me try to do it, Peg. Again what I do not want to see occur is for the LCCR to start attacking us. We have more in common with them than I had originally assumed, thanks to the write-ups of their interviews. We need to promote what we have in common not try to score political points. But I will try to correct the records as long as you will agree you heard what I said the way I know I said it!

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 3:14 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

I fully understand. Do you want me to prepare a correction sheet for the Working Group, placing your second and more important point first, or do you want to handle this verbally at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 02:55 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

The first item is not as big a deal as the second one: the processes under which subjects of investigations come to Jesus is not as important as the overall assessment of our law enforcement achievements. But stressing the isolated test cases we brought - - and will continue to bring - - to deter things like felon voting, alien voting and double voting, which not mentioning such significant achievements as the five case PROJECTS mentioned in my last e-mail - - misrepresents what we are doing and the deterrent message we are trying to communicate.

012997

I appreciate that these two young people may have found themselves in a Brave New World when they came over here. It showed in their questioning. But the fact that criminal law enforcement is not at all similar to preventative legal relief (as under the Voting Rights Act) or civil relief (as election contest litigation) is I guess more of a problem than I at first foresaw. My real concern is that the civil rights groups - - with whom we over here have an amazing amount of common grounds - - will take the singling out of the felon and alien voter cases as evincing a malevolent aggression on their constituencies. That is not the case. We are only enforcing the law.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 2:47 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

I think they are panicking because they are preparing to travel tomorrow and may not have time to submit a revised version. They also are resisting changes to their interview summaries because the summaries represent what they think they heard. I was there at the interview and I heard what you said. I'm not sure that either of them heard everything (including the nuances) because so much of the information was new to them and it was one of their earlier interviews. I'm sorry I did not catch the defects before the summary went out.

My first concern is ensuring that the Working Group has the correct information. Then, we can deal with what version, *if any*, goes in the final report. Do you want me to excerpt the corrections from your email and submit them to the Working Group? — Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 01:41 PM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject: RE: Your Materials

012998

Sure. But where is the resistance coming from? The notes were not accurate. As you know, I have to be very concerned about that.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 12:34 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** RE: Your Materials

Craig:

I am getting some resistance from my consultants to correcting the summary of the interview prior to the meeting. Would you mind noting the corrections at the meeting? --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 12:06 PM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectRE: Your Materials

Thank you, Peg. This stuff is very interesting.

012999

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 11:27 AM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Your Materials

I have forwarded your message to our consultants and have requested a corrected version for distribution at the WG meeting. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectYour Materials

Peg - -

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

013000

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request - - or routinely receive - - audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/16/2006 11:03 AM

To psims@eac.gov, serebrov@sbcglobal.net  
cc

Subject RE: Your Materials

I think he can just raise these points at the meeting, no? I'm sure many we interviewed would say we misquoted them on something. This is what both Job and I remember him saying. I think it would be unfair for him to change/amend his interview without giving the same opportunity to the other interviewees.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 16, 2006 9:59 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Fw: Your Materials

See corrections from Donsanto at DOJ. We should probably provide corrected versions to the Working Group. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/16/2006 10:58 AM -----

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/16/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Your Materials

013001



Peg --

I have read over the materials you sent to me and viewed the pieces on the CD.

I have only one correction:

I did not say that offenders who receive target letters routinely request -- or routinely receive -- audiences here at DOJHQ. That is very rare. Instead, what usually happens is that once a subject for an election fraud investigation is advised that he or she is going to be charged that person usually enters into plea negotiations and ultimately pleads guilty. Very few federal election fraud cases go to trial. When a subject does request a HQ interview or a HW hearing, it would be held in the first instance by myself. But again, Peg, that is rare.

Also, while the occurrences of prosecutions of isolated instances of felons and alien voters and double voters has increased, we still aggressively and I believe quite successfully pursue systematic schemes to corrupt the electoral process, as the cases we brought recently out of Knott and Pike Counties in Kentucky, those we brought out of Lincoln and Logan Counties in West Virginia, and those we brought in New Hampshire growing out of the jamming of get0-out-the-vote phone bank lines attest.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

05/19/2006 03:17 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Simmons, Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Peggy --

I was just thinking of you!

Great session yesterday. I really enjoyed it. Robust discussion.

On another subject, Nancy Simmons needs the e-mail address of NASED. Can you give her both that and the website address for them? Her e-mail is nancy.simmons@usdoj.gov.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Fri May 19 14:51:21 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project-Nexis Word Search

Craig;

You asked about the Nexis search terms used by our consultants. The list

013002

follows. --- Peggy.

Election and fraud  
Voter and fraud  
Vote and fraud  
Voter and challenge  
Vote and challenge  
Election and challenge  
Election and irregularity  
Election and irregularities  
Election and violation  
Election and stealing  
Ballot box and tampering  
Ballot box and theft  
Ballot box and stealing  
Election and officers  
Election and Sheriff  
Miscount and votes  
Election and crime  
Election and criminal  
Vote and crime  
Vote and criminal  
Double voting  
Multiple voting  
Dead and voting  
Election and counting and violation  
Election and counting and error  
Vote and counting and violation  
Vote and counting and error  
Voter and intimidation  
Voter and intimidating  
Vote and intimidation  
Denial and voter and registration  
Voter identification  
Vote and identification  
Voter and racial profiling  
Vote and racial profiling  
Voter and racial  
Vote and racial  
Voter and racial and challenge  
Vote and racial and challenge  
Voter and deny and racial  
Vote and deny and racial  
Voter and deny and challenge  
Vote and deny and challenge  
Voter and deny and black  
Vote and deny and black  
Voter and black and challenge  
Vote and black and challenge  
Voter and deny and African American  
Vote and deny and African American  
Voter and African American and challenge  
Vote and African American and challenge  
Election and black and challenge  
Election and African American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Hispanic  
Voter and deny and Latino  
Vote and deny and Hispanic  
Vote and deny and Latino  
Voter and Hispanic and challenge  
Voter and Latino and challenge

013003

Vote and Hispanic and challenge  
Vote and Latino and challenge  
Election and Hispanic and challenge  
Election and Latino and challenge  
Voter and deny and Native American  
Vote and deny and Native American  
Voter and Native American and challenge  
Vote and Native American and challenge  
Election and Native American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Asian American  
Vote and deny and Asian American  
Voter and Asian American and challenge  
Vote and Asian American and challenge  
Voter and Asian American and challenge  
Election and Asian American and challenge  
Voter and deny and Indian  
Vote and deny and Indian  
Voter and Indian and challenge  
Vote and Indian and challenge  
Election and Indian and challenge  
Poll tax  
Voting and test  
Absentee ballot and deny  
Absentee ballot and reject  
Absentee ballot and challenge  
Vote and challenge  
Voter and challenge  
Election and challenge  
Vote and police  
Voter and police  
Poll and police  
Vote and law enforcement  
Voter and law enforcement  
Poll and law enforcement  
Vote and deceptive practices  
Voter and deceptive practices  
Election and deceptive practices  
Voter and deceive  
Voter and false information  
Dirty tricks  
Vote and felon  
Vote and ex-felon  
Disenfranchisement  
Disenfranchise  
Law and election and manipulation  
Vote and purging  
Vote and purge  
Registration and removal  
Registration and purging  
Registration and purge  
Vote buying  
Vote and noncitizen  
Voter and noncitizen  
Vote and selective enforcement  
Identification and selective  
Election and misinformation  
Registration and restrictions  
Election and administrator and fraud  
Election and official and fraud  
Provisional ballot and deny  
Provisional ballot and denial

Affidavit ballot and deny  
Affidavit ballot and denial  
Absentee ballot and coerce  
Absentee ballot and coercion  
Registration and destruction  
Voter and deter  
Vote and deterrence  
Voter and deterrence  
Ballot integrity  
Ballot security  
Ballot security and minority  
Ballot security and black  
Ballot security and African American  
Ballot security and Latino  
Ballot security and Hispanic  
Ballot security and Native American  
Ballot security and Indian  
Vote and suppression  
Minority and vote and suppression  
Black and vote and suppression  
African American and vote and suppression  
Latino and vote and suppression  
Hispanic and vote and suppression  
Native American and vote and suppression  
Vote and suppress  
Minority and vote and suppress  
African American and vote and suppress  
Latino and vote and suppress  
Native American and vote and suppress  
Vote and depress  
Jim Crow  
Literacy test  
Voter and harass  
Voter and harassment  
Vote and mail and fraud  
Poll and guards  
Election and consent decree  
Vote and barrier  
Voting and barrier  
Voter and barrier  
Election and long line  
Voter and long line

Poll worker and challenge  
Poll worker and intimidate  
Poll worker and intimidation  
Poll worker and intimidating  
Poll worker and threatening  
Poll worker and abusive  
Election official and challenge  
Election official and intimidate  
Election official and intimidation  
Election official and intimidating  
Election official and threatening  
Election official and abusive  
Poll watcher and challenge  
Poll watcher and intimidate  
Poll watcher and intimidating  
Poll watcher and intimidation  
Poll watcher and abusive  
Poll watcher and threatening

Poll inspector and challenge  
Poll inspector and intimidate  
Poll inspector and intimidating  
Poll inspector and intimidation  
Poll inspector and abusive  
Poll inspector and threatening  
Poll judge and challenge  
Poll judge and intimidate  
Poll judge and intimidating  
Poll judge and intimidation  
Poll judge and abusive  
Poll judge and threatening  
Poll monitor and challenge  
Poll monitor and intimidate  
Poll monitor and intimidating  
Poll monitor and intimidation  
Poll monitor and abusive  
Poll monitor and threatening  
Election judge and challenge  
Election judge and intimidate  
Election judge and intimidating  
Election judge and intimidation  
Election judge and abusive  
Election judge and threatening  
Election monitor and challenge  
Election monitor and intimidate  
Election monitor and intimidating  
Election monitor and intimidation  
Election monitor and abusive  
Election monitor and threatening  
Election observer and challenge  
Election observer and intimidate  
Election observer and intimidating  
Election observer and intimidation  
Election observer and abusive  
Election observer and threatening

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/15/2006 05:05 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Fraud Definition

Sounds good. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, May 15, 2006 4:03 PM

To:

Subject: Re: Fraud Definition

Tova:

013006

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM ----



[REDACTED]  
07/01/2006 05:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc [REDACTED]  
Subject Re: FW: methodology

It would be great if someone there could work on cleaning it up. Let us know. Thanks.

----- Original Message -----

From: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, June 30, 2006 5:25 PM

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

The attached is the text extracted from pages 8-19 and the Attachment C referenced within the text. The formatting is still a little weird. Can you work with this, or do I need to play with it some more? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

06/29/2006 12:07 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)  
cc  
Subject FW: methodology

Will it be possible for you to extract the excerpt for inclusion in the report? Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
Sent: Wednesday, June 28, 2006 5:40 PM

To: Tova Wang; [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

Subject: Re: methodology

Agreed

--- Tova Wang [REDACTED] wrote:

013007

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:04 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: final report [REDACTED]

Once is enough. You don't need to resend. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"

07/03/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject final report

Peg, We don't need to re-send you all of the material that we gave you to provide to the working group for the final report, eg the individual interviews, research summaries, nexis and case charts, right? Thanks. Happy 4th. Tova

**Tova Andrea Wang**

Democracy Fellow

**The Century Foundation**

41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021

phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:47 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

07/03/2006 11:35 AM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: FW: methodology [REDACTED]

I've asked Devon to do it. She can get it to you faster than I. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

013008



"Tova Wang"

07/03/2006 11:18 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED]

Subject RE: FW: methodology

The excess returns would be a great start, and then I can do the rest.  
Thanks a lot.

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

Sent: Monday, July 03, 2006 10:14 AM

To: [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: FW: methodology

Do you just need to have the excess returns removed, or do you think it  
needs other clean up as well? --- Peggy

013009



07/17/2006 12:25 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject RE: final report [REDACTED]

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
**Cc:** "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

013010

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To: psims@eac.gov  
CC: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED] wang@tcf.org  
Subject: RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** "Job Serebrov"; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

07/17/2006 09:33 AM

To: psims@eac.gov  
CC: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject: final report

013012

Can you send it over? As I recall, it includes bios, right? I'm assuming on the interviewees you think we should have very short biographical information? Also, Peg, I'm not sure if I'll still be at work at 7 or home. Is it ok if I email you late in the day as to where I am? My home phone (for only two more weeks!) is 212-362-5223. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, July 17, 2006 11:26 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Job Serebrov [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: final report

Yes, I have the list of Working Group members. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

07/17/2006 10:29 AM

To psims@eac.gov

CC "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject RE: final report

appendices attached, except Peg I think you put together the list of the working group members? In any case, I can't find one at the moment, but it would be easy enough to put together. Perhaps even Devon or someone could do that, especially since I don't think I have any hours left, and probably shouldn't even be writing this email. I don't remember the conversation about adding to the list of interviewees, but we can talk about that later.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Monday, July 17, 2006 9:13 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** Job Serebrov; [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: final report

Thanks. I probably won't be able to start getting into this until tomorrow AM. I noticed that the appendices weren't attached. I think we discussed earlier that the list of interviewees needed to have more information for the final report, and the list of books and documents should be presented in the same manner as a bibliography for the final report. We can talk more about this tonight during our teleconference at 7 PM EST. --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

013013

---

**From:** Tova Wang [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 26, 2006 9:31 AM  
**To:** psims@eac.gov  
**Cc:** 'Job Serebrov'; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** bibliographic form

Hi Peg, Here is the list of literature reviewed in bibliographic form. Please let us know if you have been able to look over any of the materials. Starting this afternoon, I will be pretty unavailable for the next two weeks.  
Thanks

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

---

[Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.](#)



Lit review in bibliographic form.doc

013014

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV  
11/28/2006 11:25 AM

To Jeannie Layson/EAC/GOV@EAC  
cc ecortes@eac.gov  
bcc  
Subject Accessible Email with Tova and Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
08/21/2006 12:16 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject call

Hi Peg, I left you a voice message last week -- you might have been at NCSL. Anyway, would you give me a call when you have a moment? Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang, Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
1333 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20037

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----



"Ambrogi, Adam (Rules)"  
<Adam\_Ambrogi@rules.senate.gov>  
10/02/2006 11:38 AM

To psims@eac.gov [REDACTED]  
cc  
Subject Chapin Survey

Peggy and Tova:

I know that we had been looking for a state survey of election fraud and intimidation statutes—as you may have seen, Doug Chapin recently released a report on this info-attached here. I hope all is well with the both of you.

Best regards,  
Adam

-----  
Adam D. Ambrogi  
Democratic Professional Staff Member

013015

Senate Committee on Rules and Administration  
Russell Senate Office Building, Room 479  
Washington, D.C. 20510  
202-224-0279



Chapin.state.fraud.intimidation.statutes.Oct.1.2006.pdf

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM —



"Tova Wang"

10/03/2006 10:41 AM

To "Ambrogi, Adam (Rules)"  
<Adam\_Ambrogi@rules.senate.gov>, psims@eac.gov  
cc

Subject RE: Chapin Survey

Thanks Adam. As the current project moves forward and then proceeds to phase 2, this will be a great resource I'm sure. Tova

Tova Andrea Wang, Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation  
1333 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20005

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

---

**From:** Ambrogi, Adam (Rules) [mailto:Adam\_Ambrogi@rules.senate.gov]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 02, 2006 11:39 AM  
**To:** psims@eac.gov; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Chapin Survey

Peggy and Tova:

I know that we had been looking for a state survey of election fraud and intimidation statutes—as you may have seen, Doug Chapin recently released a report on this info-attached here. I hope all is well with the both of you.

Best regards,  
Adam

---

Adam D. Ambrogi  
Democratic Professional Staff Member  
Senate Committee on Rules and Administration  
Russell Senate Office Building, Room 479

013016

Washington, D.C. 20510  
202-224-0279

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

10/13/2006 02:49 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Don't Believe Everything You Read

Tova and Job:

I am home recuperating, but see that in my absence, a USA Today article has gotten everyone stirred up. The report to which the article refers is only the status report on the voting fraud-voter intimidation research project that was delivered to our Standards Board and Board of Advisors last spring. I provided a copy of this document to both of you. but have attached another copy for your information. This document is subject to public release because it was presented at a public meeting.

Due to internal resource allocation problems, your final report has not yet been reviewed by the Commissioners. It is considered a working document (not subject to public release) until it has completed the review process and the Commissioners have agreed to release it. There has been no attempt by the Commission to hold up the report. I bear responsibility for any delays in moving it along. Please be reassured that we would not release your report without letting you know.

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov



VF-VI Study Status 5-17-06.pdf

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----



"Job Serebrov"

10/13/2006 03:26 PM

To psims@eac.gov, wang@tcf.org

cc

Subject Re: Don't Believe Everything You Read

Peg:

We saw both the USA Today article and a similar thing was reported on Rush Limbaugh's show naming both of us. I had a talk this morning with folks at the EAC. I told them at this point there needs to be a press release sent out by the Chairman saying just what you stated. This is the only

013017

way to rehabilitate the work we did, the Chairman's credibility, and our reputations. I also fear that if this is not done the EAC will begin to receive calls from Congressman and Senators regarding the "report" and its effect on voter ID requirements.

Peg, up to now Tova and I have refused to speak with the press at all out of respect for the EAC and its mission. We both stand by our work and its conclusions. We both also feel that if a statement (as well phrased as you did in this e-mail clarifying the issue) is not forthcoming from the Chairman then I will have to correct this error with the Press. I explained this in my conversation this morning with the EAC.

Tova and I worked hard to produce a correct, accurate and truthful report. I could care less that the results are not what the more conservative members of my Party wanted. Neither one of us was willing to conform results for political expediency. I think its important for me to note that I was very impressed with Tova's members of the Working Group and I can't say enough about Tova's partnership effort in this endeavor. While neither one of us really care about outside opinions, we do care that the Chairman was quoted or misquoted in a way that would disparage our year-long effort and all of the tax payer money that went into it. For this reason, we believe that a press release clarifying the situation is necessary from either the Chairman or from me.

Regards,

Job

*psims@eac.gov* wrote:

Tova and Job:

I am home recuperating, but see that in my absence, a USA Today article has gotten everyone stirred up. The report to which the article refers is only the status report on the voting fraud-voter intimidation research project that was delivered to our Standards Board and Board of Advisors last spring. I provided a copy of this document to both of you. but have attached another copy for your information. This document is subject to public release because it was presented at a pubic meeting.

Due to internal resource allocation problems, your final report has not yet been reviewed by the Commissioners. It is considered a working document (not subject to public release) until it has completed the review process and the Commissioners have agreed to release it. There has been no attempt by the Commission to hold up the report. I bear responsibility for any delays in moving it along. Please be reassured that we would not release your report without letting you know.

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: *psims@eac.gov*

013018



----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

11/02/2006 04:52 PM

To [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Work Papers

Job:

Julie recalls you asking her how to send your work papers for the voting fraud study to EAC. She asked if I had received them. I was not sure what papers you were referencing, so I could not adequately respond. Can you shed some light on this matter?

Peggy Sims

Election Research Specialist

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100

Washington, DC 20005

Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)

Fax: 202-566-3127

email: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/28/2006 11:22 AM -----



"Job Serebrov"

[REDACTED]  
11/02/2006 05:14 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

cc

Subject Re: Work Papers

Yes. I asked her if she wanted me to delete the Voter Fraud Project files on my computer or whether I should keep them. She said to download them to a disk and send them to her at the EAC. These files are just duplicates of what you have plus the text of some of the articles. What do you want me to do? I will not be able to do anything until after the election on Tuesday in any case because I am so involved in Asa Hutchinson's campaign.

Hope you are feeling better.

Regards,

Job

[psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov) wrote:

Job:

Julie recalls you asking her how to send your work papers for the voting fraud study to EAC. She asked if I had received them. I was not sure what papers you were referencing, so I could not adequately

013019

respond. Can you shed some light on this matter?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

013020

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Thu May 04 17:20:39 2006  
Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

It is just the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project.  
I am asking you to attend as Technical Advisor for the project. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 03:26 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peg - - what is the name of the group?

---

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 3:13 PM  
To: Donsanto, Craig  
Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

This meeting is being held to obtain input from our eight-member Working Group  
for the project. The group is composed of election lawyers, election

013021

officials, and a representative of an advocacy group, all of whom have an interest and some expertise in the identification and/or prosecution of voting fraud and voter intimidation. The group was chosen so that we would have an equal number of folks on each side of the political spectrum, plus some nonpartisan members.

After our consultants review the results of their preliminary research (interviews, literature review, case law), we will ask the Working Group to brainstorm possible next steps for EAC. Our consultants will write a report summarizing the proposals that come out of this meeting. The report will go to the Commissioners, who will decide what they want to do, funds available, and what priority to assigned to the effort(s).

Your participation in this part of the process is extremely important, so I am very happy that you can find time for us that afternoon. I'll get an agenda and other information to you next week. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 02:32 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay, Peg - - I will mark off the entire afternoon and try to be there. What is the agenda? I was not aware that this was anything beyond having your contractors spend another session with me. Also, if they will be needing stats and stuff like that I need to know as I will bring my state-people with me.

---

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:28 PM  
To: Donsanto, Craig  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM

013022

and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.  
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

013023

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

013024

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006  
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/09/2006 11:45 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

Subject RE: Case Summaries

yes

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 09, 2006 10:38 AM  
**To:** wang@tcf.org  
**Subject:** Fw: Case Summaries

Had you seen this? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/09/2006 11:38 AM -----

"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 09:30 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Case Summaries

013025

Peggy:

Please add this to the packet.

Job

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/12/2006 03:45 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Good News

I'm thankful it all worked out.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Ginsberg has accepted our invitation! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 02:35 PM

To Craig Donsanto

cc

Subject Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Craig:

I think we have resolved the issue of Barbara Arnwine's absence from the upcoming meeting by having one of her staff represent her (and her organization). Please review the attached rough summary of DOJ Cases ASAP and let me know if I need to delete reference to the open investigations. Hopefully, we won't have to remove this information as it does not specify the defendants or States involved. --- Peg

**Rough Summary of Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section Activities, October 2002-January 2006**

**Prosecutions and Convictions-- Individuals**

Noncitizen voting: 20

Vote buying: 49

Double voting: 12

Registration fraud: 13

Civil Rights: 4

Voter Intimidation: 2

Unclear: 1

013026



Open Investigations (note: a few cases overlap with prosecutions and convictions)

Noncitizen voting: 3  
Vote buying: 25  
Double voting: 15  
Registration fraud: 29  
Absentee ballot fraud: 9  
Official: 8  
Ineligibles: 4  
Deceptive Practices: 1  
Civil Rights: 14  
Intimidation: 6  
Other: 2

Cases and Investigations Closed for Lack of Evidence

Civil Rights: 8  
Official: 12  
Registration Fraud: 12  
Absentee Ballot Fraud: 14  
Ineligible Voting: 3  
Intimidation: 8  
Double Voting: 5  
Ballot Box Stuffing: 1  
Vote Buying: 14  
Ballot/machine tampering: 2  
Other: 8  
Unclear: 3

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/03/2006 12:40 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation 

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

013027



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>  
05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006  
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 02:08 PM

To Job Serebrov  
cc [REDACTED]  
Subject Literature Summary

Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of The Federal Crime of Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is something wrong in the fourth paragraph (odd characters and missing text). Can you please send a replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it in an email and I will place it in the document. ---  
Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----



"Tova Wang"  
[REDACTED]  
05/11/2006 05:32 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject RE: new working group representative

013028

I'm up for a short meeting afterward and a teleconference on Monday. And maybe when all of this is over, you and I can have dinner! Have I told you that I am moving down to DC this summer?

I suspect you have put up with much more than I have and I really appreciate everything you have done.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 4:27 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: new working group representative

Tova:

I understood Job to say that he could only find a hotel room with the right bed in Roanoke (hours away). He will drive in Thursday morning for the meeting and return to that hotel Thursday night. He won't drive back into DC for a Friday morning meeting.

We don't have to do dinner. I recognize that you have spent a lot of time and energy to make this project work, and I don't want to put you out. (You have done a lot under difficult circumstances.) Though we will be bushed, we may want to have a short meeting right after the Working Group disperses --- or we could do a teleconference the following Monday afternoon (before I head into three more days of meetings). --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/11/2006 04:54 PM

To psims@eac.gov [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: new working group representative

It would not be my first preference to do this right after the meeting, and I sort of had tentative plans. They can be changed if necessary of course, but what happened to meeting on Friday morning?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:47 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: new working group representative

Dinner sounds like a great idea. Do either of you have any dietary restrictions or preferences? (I

013029

seem to recall that Tova would prefer a place that has vegetarian options). I'll try to locate a nearby place that won't bust the budget. --- Peggy



05/11/2006 01:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov, dromig@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject research summaries

I have the feeling we didn't include these in the original batch I sent you. Could you double check and if not, would you please include them in the existing research materials? Sorry and thanks. I'm kind of doing all of this on my own in case you couldn't tell. List is coming...

**Tova Andrea Wang**  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Brennan Analysis Voter Fraud Report FINAL.doc Fed Crime Election Fraud (JS).doc  
----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 01:56 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: research summaries [REDACTED]

Something is wrong in the fourth paragraph of the Federal Election Crime summary. Do you know what it is supposed to say there?

"Tova Wang" <wang@tcf.org>



"Tova Wang"

05/11/2006 01:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov, dromig@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject research summaries

I have the feeling we didn't include these in the original batch I sent you. Could you double check and if not, would you please include them in the existing research materials? Sorry and thanks. I'm kind of doing all of this on my own in case you couldn't tell. List is coming...

**Tova Andrea Wang**  
Democracy Fellow

013031



"Tova Wang"

05/11/2006 01:38 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc dromig@eac.gov,

Subject existing literature list

Job, please double check to make sure I haven't missed anything

**Tova Andrea Wang**  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

---

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

013032



Existing Literature Reviewed.doc

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM —



"Tova Wang"

05/11/2006 04:54 PM

To psims@eac.gov, serebrov@sbcglobal.net

cc

Subject RE: new working group representative

It would not be my first preference to do this right after the meeting, and I sort of had tentative plans. They can be changed if necessary of course, but what happened to meeting on Friday morning?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:47 PM

**To:**

**Subject:** Re: new working group representative

Dinner sounds like a great idea. Do either of you have any dietary restrictions or preferences? (I seem to recall that Tova would prefer a place that has vegetarian options). I'll try to locate a nearby place that won't bust the budget. --- Peggy

013033

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
The Century Foundation  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.



Wisconsin FINAL.doc South Dakota FINAL.doc Washington FINAL.doc

--- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM ---



"Job Serebrov"

05/09/2006 11:24 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc

Subject Re: Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting

I will hear from him tomorrow but that still does not solve all of my issues---see my longer e-mail.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I had a voice mail message from him on Monday. I  
> called him back but had  
> to leave a voice mail message (telephone tag). If  
> you hear from him and  
> he is willing and able to come, I need to know this.  
> We need to have him  
> call our travel service to make travel arrangements  
> ASAP. Thanks. ---  
> Peggy

>  
>  
>  
> "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>  
> 05/09/2006 10:46 AM  
>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> FYI

013034



>  
> --- "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED]  
> wrote:  
>  
> > Subject: RE: Working Group meeting  
> > Date: Tue, 9 May 2006 07:42:44 -0600  
> > From: "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED]  
> > To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> >  
> > Job---maybe. I will call you and/or Ms. Sims  
> > tomorrow. Depositions all  
> > day today. Thanks, Pat  
> >  
> > What's the best number to call you tomorrow?  
> >  
> >  
> > Patrick J. Rogers  
> > Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
> > [REDACTED]  
> > Tel: [REDACTED]  
> > Fax: [REDACTED]  
> >  
> >  
> > -----Original Message-----  
> > From: Job Serebrov [mailto:[REDACTED]]  
> >  
> > Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:41 PM  
> > To: Patrick J. Rogers  
> > Subject: Working Group meeting  
> >  
> > Pat:  
> >  
> > The working group meeting for the voter fraud  
> > project is scheduled for  
> > May 18th in DC but David Norcross can't attend.  
> > Could you come? If so,  
> > we need to arrange travel and a hotel for you.  
> >  
> > Regards,  
> >  
> > Job  
> >  
> >

> > -----  
> > Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
> >  
> > -----

> > THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE  
> > INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO  
> > WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION  
> > THAT IS PRIVILEGED,  
> > CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER  
> > APPLICABLE LAW. If the  
> > reader of this message is not the intended  
> > recipient  
> > or agent  
> > responsible for delivering the message to the  
> > intended recipient, you

> > are hereby notified that any dissemination or  
> > copying of this  
> > communication is strictly prohibited. If you have  
> > received this  
> > electronic transmission in error, please delete it  
> > from your system  
> > without copying it, and notify the sender by reply  
> > e-mail or by calling  
> > [REDACTED] so that our address record can be  
> > corrected. Thank you.  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

[REDACTED]  
03/02/2006 04:42 PM

To: dromig@eac.gov

cc: psims@eac.gov

Subject: RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Barbara says that you have been working it out with her assistant Valerie, that they have spoken to you several times.

-----Original Message-----

**From:** dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 02, 2006 8:46 AM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** psims@eac.gov

**Subject:** Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Dear Tova,

I am working with Peggy Sims in order to set a date for the Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group. I have been trying to reach Barbara Arnwine in order to find out which days in May she is potentially available to attend this meeting but all of my attempts have been unsuccessful.

I would appreciate any help that you could provide in this matter.

Sincerely,

Devon Romig  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite #1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005

013036

(202)566-2377

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM —

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 02:08 PM

To "Weinberg and Utrecht"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation [REDACTED]

OK, thanks. I'll get back to you with more information. --- Peggy

"Weinberg and Utrecht" [REDACTED]



"Weinberg and Utrecht"

05/04/2006 01:34 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

that would be fine

----- Original Message -----

From: psims@eac.gov

To [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:08 PM

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

It appears that the afternoon of Thursday, May 18 is best for a meeting of the working group. I know you said you would not be available in the morning that day. If we started at 1 PM, would that be too soon for you?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM —



"Job Serebroy"

05/12/2006 02:33 PM

To psims@eac.gov

013037

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"

05/02/2006 05:06 PM

To dromig@eac.gov

cc psims@eac.gov

Subject RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Can you please give me an idea where we are at with all this? I'd like to be able to figure out my schedule. Thanks -- and thanks for all your assistance on this. Tova

-----Original Message-----

**From:** dromig@eac.gov [mailto:dromig@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 02, 2006 3:54 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Voting Fraud/Voter Intimidation Project Working Group

Yes, I have spoken to her assistant several times but today has been the first time that I have ever spoken to her assistant. We did get the information that we needed. Thanks for your help!

Devon Romig  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave. NW - Suite #1100  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202)566-2377

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:49 PM -----



05/03/2006 02:25 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject Re: Working Group Meeting

Sounds good. I'm available any time on Monday. Tova

----- Original Message -----

**From:** psims@eac.gov

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Cc:** dromig@eac.gov

**Sent:** Wednesday, May 03, 2006 1:44 PM

**Subject:** Working Group Meeting

Job and Tova:

013038

As of now, the afternoon of Thursday , May 18 appears to be the best possible date for the meeting. Norcross is not available to attend in person that day (he is available only 2 days during the first three weeks of May). We won't have confirmation of the availability of Secretary Rokita until tomorrow --- but I am hopeful.

I'll give you an update tomorrow. Maybe we can schedule a teleconference on Monday afternoon. ---  
Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



05/13/2006 10:54 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

Subject Fw: research summary

Job found it. I'm assuming its too late to include so as I said I'll just present it if thats OK. Thanks again Job. T

----- Original Message -----

From: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

To: [REDACTED]

Sent: Saturday, May 13, 2006 10:12 AM

Subject: Re: research summary

> T~

>

> Are you talking about this?

>

> J~

>

> --- [REDACTED]

>

>> In the middle of the night I got the feeling that  
>> you may be right, that I did do a summary of the  
>> existing literature review (that Job, you approved)  
>> . I'll have to look for it on Monday (unless I go  
>> into the office over the weekend, which is  
>> possible). I may be hallucinating, but if not, I'll  
>> just present it at the meeting rather than try to  
>> get it to them ahead of time. Tova



Existing\_research\_thoughts.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

v>

05/11/2006 02:55 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Peggy --

013039

I have Cynthia Mitchell in here with me now.

She says that the figures you listed in your attachment are your analysis of our product, and that therefore we cannot re-evaluate them.

I do not see anything in these raw numbers that impacts adversely any privacy or privilege issues.

We can update the public list and send that to you, if you'd prefer. That would allow you to represent that the numbers are current up through now. But if you would prefer, you can use what you have as long as it is represented as complete only through January, 2006.

Let us know your desires - - -

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 2:35 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group  
**Importance:** High

Craig:

I think we have resolved the issue of Barbara Arnwine's absence from the upcoming meeting by having one of her staff represent her (and her organization). Please review the attached rough summary of DOJ Cases ASAP and let me know if I need to delete reference to the open investigations. Hopefully, we won't have to remove this information as it does not specify the defendants or States involved. --- Peg

**Rough Summary of Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section Activities, October 2002-January 2006**

**Prosecutions and Convictions-- Individuals**

Noncitizen voting: 20  
Vote buying: 49  
Double voting: 12  
Registration fraud: 13  
Civil Rights: 4  
Voter Intimidation: 2  
Unclear: 1

**Open Investigations** (note: a few cases overlap with prosecutions and convictions)

Noncitizen voting: 3  
Vote buying: 25  
Double voting: 15  
Registration fraud: 29  
Absentee ballot fraud: 9  
Official: 8

013040

Ineligibles: 4  
Deceptive Practices: 1  
Civil Rights: 14  
Intimidation: 6  
Other: 2

Cases and Investigations Closed for Lack of Evidence

Civil Rights: 8  
Official: 12  
Registration Fraud: 12  
Absentee Ballot Fraud: 14  
Ineligible Voting: 3  
Intimidation: 8  
Double Voting: 5  
Ballot Box Stuffing: 1  
Vote Buying: 14  
Ballot/machine tampering: 2  
Other: 8  
Unclear: 3

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 03:33 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Craig:

I would love to have an updated list for our research files. For purposes of getting this information out to our participants, I will note that the consultants' summary is based upon information provided as of January 2006. Thanks.

Do you expect to be at your office tomorrow afternoon? I can walk over with the information packet we will have put together for the Working Group. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

05/11/2006 02:55 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

013041

Peggy - -

I have Cynthia Mitchell in here with me now.

She says that the figures you listed in your attachment are your analysis of our product, and that there3fore we cannot re-evaluate them.

I do not see anything in these raw numbers that impacts adversely any privacy or privilege issues.

We can update the public list and send that to you, if you'd prefer. That would allow you to represent that the numbers are current up through now. But if you would prefer, you can use what you have as long as it is represented as complete only through January, 2006.

Let us know your desires - - -

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 2:35 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group  
**Importance:** High

Craig:

I think we have resolved the issue of Barbara Arnwine's absence from the upcoming meeting by having one of her staff represent her (and her organization). Please review the attached rough summary of DOJ Cases ASAP and let me know if I need to delete reference to the open investigations. Hopefully, we won't have to remove this information as it does not specify the defendants or States involved. --- Peg

**Rough Summary of Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section Activities, October 2002-January 2006**

**Prosecutions and Convictions-- Individuals**

Noncitizen voting: 20  
Vote buying: 49  
Double voting: 12  
Registration fraud: 13  
Civil Rights: 4  
Voter Intimidation: 2  
Unclear: 1

**Open Investigations** (note: a few cases overlap with prosecutions and convictions)

Noncitizen voting: 3  
Vote buying: 25  
Double voting: 15  
Registration fraud: 29  
Absentee ballot fraud: 9

013042



Official: 8  
Ineligibles: 4  
Deceptive Practices: 1  
Civil Rights: 14  
Intimidation: 6  
Other: 2

Cases and Investigations Closed for Lack of Evidence

Civil Rights: 8  
Official: 12  
Registration Fraud: 12  
Absentee Ballot Fraud: 14  
Ineligible Voting: 3  
Intimidation: 8  
Double Voting: 5  
Ballot Box Stuffing: 1  
Vote Buying: 14  
Ballot/machine tampering: 2  
Other: 8  
Unclear: 3

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

---

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

05/08/2006 01:05 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Working Group [REDACTED]

Job:

I don't think we can put you on teleconference for 4 1/2 hours. We really need to have you here in person if you are to help conduct the Working Group meeting. You should make your travel arrangements ASAP.  
--- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]



"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 10:14 AM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Working Group

Peggy:

4:00 eastern on Tuesday is fine however, given the financial restrictions that you indicated would be in place for use of my car (I would actually loose money coming to DC) and given the cost of hotels at this time (I can't afford to front these costs and wait for months to be repaid), etc, it would take a miracle for this working group meeting to take place in person. It is looking like the only way it will get done is by teleconference. I also share Tova's concern about the unknown nature of Mr. Perez.

Job

013044

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006  
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

013045

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

05/03/2006 04:59 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation 

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in. --- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov

v>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

013046

05/04/2006 06:08 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRe: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peggy -- they don't have anything to do with the Leadership Conference on Civil Rights do they?

I ask only because the Justice Department is currently engaged in a very acrimoneous FOIA litigation with LCCR that focuses precisely on our efforts to combat voter "intimidationm"

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Thu May 04 17:20:39 2006

Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

It is just the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project. I am asking you to attend as Technical Advisor for the project. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 03:26 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

013047

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peg - - what is the name of the group?

---

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 3:13 PM  
To: Donsanto, Craig  
Subject: RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

This meeting is being held to obtain input from our eight-member Working Group for the project. The group is composed of election lawyers, election officials, and a representative of an advocacy group, all of whom have an interest and some expertise in the identification and/or prosecution of voting fraud and voter intimidation. The group was chosen so that we would have an equal number of folks on each side of the political spectrum, plus some nonpartisan members.

After our consultants review the results of their preliminary research (interviews, literature review, case law), we will ask the Working Group to brainstorm possible next steps for EAC. Our consultants will write a report summarizing the proposals that come out of this meeting. The report will go to the Commissioners, who will decide what they want to do, funds available, and what priority to assigned to the effort(s).

Your participation in this part of the process is extremely important, so I am very happy that you can find time for us that afternoon. I'll get an agenda and other information to you next week. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 02:32 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

013048

Okay, Peg - - I will mark off the entire afternoon and try to be there. What is the agenda? I was not aware that this was anything beyond having your contractors spend another session with me. Also, if they will be needing stats and stuff like that I need to know as I will bring my state-people with me.

---

From: psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:28 PM  
To: Donsanto, Craig  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

To  
cc  
Subject

psims@eac.gov

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

013049

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.  
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

013050



psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballot conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

013051

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

013052

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.  
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

013053

To psims@eac.gov  
cc .  
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006  
Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

**Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV**

05/04/2006 02:28 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

**<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL**

CC

**Subject** Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation 

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

013054

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

To psims@eac.gov

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

cc

Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.  
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006

013055

Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims

Election Research Specialist

U.S. Election Assistance Commission

013056

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM —

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

05/05/2006 02:32 PM

To Tova Andrea Wang, Job Serebrov

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject Working Group

Hi, Folks:

#### Teleconference

Are both of you available for a teleconference next Tuesday afternoon at about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you, please suggest another date and/or time. I would like to discuss our preparations for the Working Group meeting.

#### Working Group Members

We have a very good person to fill the slot for the nonpartisan local election official: J.R. Perez, Elections Administrator for Guadalupe County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have no objections to him. He is available on May 18. I have place 2 calls to Pat Rogers office, but have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have any pull with him, you may want to contact him, too.

#### Travel Arrangements

You should make your own travel arrangements, including hotel. Travel time cannot be billed to the contract, except for hours actually worked on the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in preparation for the meeting, and the like). Current Federal rates follow:

Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include hotel taxes (if you cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable rates that are a little higher)

Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that it is \$48 on the first and last day of travel)

Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$ .445 per mile

Under the new contract, I do not have to fill out a travel authorization for you. I can approve your trip via email. Afterwards, when you turn in your next pay voucher, you can attach the airline receipt (or mileage documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground transportation receipts and a copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the total travel expenses due you, including applicable per diem. I do not need meal receipts.

Job, under Federal travel regulations, deviations for personal reasons are not normally accommodated. What you can do, however, is to give me a comparison of the cost of roundtrip mileage, hotel, and per diem of doing it your way against the cost of a roundtrip flight, ground transportation, hotel, and per diem. If your way costs less, it should be no problem to cover the full cost. If your way is more expensive, we may only pay up to the amount of traditional travel. (The same rules apply to me when I travel.) If you can tell me where, other than DC, you will spend the night, I can check on applicable per diem rates.

Peggy

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 03:19 PM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc

Subject Re: Fraud Definition

I have placed another call to his office (after one previous call to his assistant and an email to him). I, too, am concerned about our dwindling chances. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>



"Job Serebrov"

05/12/2006 03:06 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Fraud Definition

Given the short time period, you may want to give Ginsberg a deadline. The longer we wait, the poorer our chances are of getting Braden.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I am reluctant to invite Braden until after I have  
> received a "No" from  
> Ginsberg. --- Peg

>

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>  
> 05/12/2006 02:33 PM

>

> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
> >

> > "Job Serebrov" <[REDACTED]>  
> > 05/12/2006 12:52 PM

>

> > To  
> > psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]  
> > cc

>

> > Subject  
> > Re: Fraud Definition

>

>

>

>

>

> > This is ok, given the fact that the WG may have  
> > suggestions. Will you be sending us the same  
> packets  
> > that you are sending the WG? Also, I figure with  
> > Tova's response we will need to have a  
> > teleconference  
> > on the report once I return to Little Rock. We  
> will  
> > need to do it that following Monday or Tuesday.  
> >  
> >

013058





**Subject: Working Group**

Hi, Folks:

**Teleconference**

Are both of you available for a teleconference next Tuesday afternoon at about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you, please suggest another date and/or time. I would like to discuss our preparations for the Working Group meeting.

**Working Group Members**

We have a very good person to fill the slot for the nonpartisan local election official: J.R. Perez, Elections Administrator for Guadalupe County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have no objections to him. He is available on May 18. I have place 2 calls to Pat Rogers office, but have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have any pull with him, you may want to contact him, too.

**Travel Arrangements**

You should make your own travel arrangements, including hotel. Travel time cannot be billed to the contract, except for hours actually worked on the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in preparation for the meeting, and the like). Current Federal rates follow:

Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include hotel taxes (if you cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable rates that are a little higher)

Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that it is \$48 on the first and last day of travel)

Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$ .445 per mile

Under the new contract, I do not have to fill out a travel authorization for you. I can approve your trip via email. Afterwards, when you turn in your next pay voucher, you can attach the airline receipt (or mileage documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground transportation receipts and a copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the total travel expenses due you, including applicable per diem. I do not need meal receipts.

Job, under Federal travel regulations, deviations for personal reasons are not normally accommodated. What you can do, however, is to give me a comparison of the cost of roundtrip mileage, hotel, and per diem of doing it your way against the cost of a roundtrip flight, ground transportation, hotel, and per diem. If your way costs less, it should be no problem to cover the full cost. If your way is more expensive, we may only pay up to the amount of traditional travel. (The same rules apply to me when I travel.) If you can tell me where, other than DC, you will spend the night, I can check on applicable per diem rates.

Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/11/2006 04:23 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Material I may not have included [REDACTED]

Would these go under literature review or news article review? --- Peggy

013060

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]



"Tova Wang"

05/10/2006 11:45 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc [REDACTED] dromig@eac.gov

Subject Material I may not have included

Peg,

Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think I omitted sending you these specific summaries that are based on complex cases that could not be adequately described within the confines of the nexis article excel spreadsheets. If we can, these should be included, probably on the disc. Sorry.

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here to receive our weekly e-mail updates.](#)



Wisconsin FINAL.doc



South Dakota FINAL.doc



Washington FINAL.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 10:33 AM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Good News

Job:

Secretary Rokita is available May 18. I'm going to talk with the Chairman today about substituting Rogers for Norcross. Do you have contact information for Rogers? --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 05:20 PM

To "Donsanto, Craig"

<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation [REDACTED]

013061

It is just the Working Group for the Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Project. I am asking you to attend as Technical Advisor for the project. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>

To psims@eac.gov

cc

05/04/2006 03:26 PM

Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Peg - - what is the name of the group?

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 04, 2006 3:13 PM

**To:** Donsanto, Craig

**Subject:** RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

This meeting is being held to obtain input from our eight-member Working Group for the project. The group is composed of election lawyers, election officials, and a representative of an advocacy group, all of whom have an interest and some expertise in the identification and/or prosecution of voting fraud and voter intimidation. The group was chosen so that we would have an equal number of folks on each side of the political spectrum, plus some nonpartisan members.

After our consultants review the results of their preliminary research (interviews, literature review, case law), we will ask the Working Group to brainstorm possible next steps for EAC. Our consultants will write a report summarizing the proposals that come out of this meeting. The report will go to the Commissioners, who will decide what they want to do, funds available, and what priority to assigned to the effort(s).

Your participation in this part of the process is extremely important, so I am very happy that you can find time for us that afternoon. I'll get an agenda and other information to you next week. --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/04/2006 02:32 PM

Topsims@eac.gov

cc

SubjectRE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

013062

Okay, Peg - - I will mark off the entire afternoon and try to be there. What is the agenda? I was not aware that this was anything beyond having your contractors spend another session with me. Also, if they will be needing stats and stuff like that I need to know as I will bring my state-people with me.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 04, 2006 2:28 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Right now, we are planning to meet in EAC's large conference room between 1 PM and 5 PM. If you cannot be there for the whole afternoon, we will appreciate whatever time you can spare. I'll get back to you with more information (agenda, list of Working Group members, etc.). --- Peggy

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 05:59 PM

Topsims@eac.gov  
cc  
SubjectRe: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Afternoon of May 18 -- 2:30 okay? How long will they need??

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

013063

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 16:59:09 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

I am looking at the afternoon of 5/18 for the meeting, due to scheduling conflicts of Working Group members. There remain two members from whom we have not yet received confirmations of their schedule (with some, it is like pulling teeth), but right now 5/18 still looks like the best day. We may have to hold the meeting over here to make it easier for Commissioners to drop in.  
--- Peg

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

05/03/2006 12:53 PM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Okay -- you are on for May 18th! Can we do it over here at 10?

-----

Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>  
To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>  
Sent: Wed May 03 12:40:19 2006  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

My problem is that agency staff is booked most of the week of 5/21. Monday through Wednesday are taken up with meetings of the Standards Board Executive Committee, the full Standards Board, and the Board of Advisors. Thursday, we have EAC's public meeting. Also, I will lose one of my two consultants in June, so I'm trying to wrap up this project (and get the final report from the consultants) by the end of May.

Say "Hi" to Cameron for me.

"Donsanto, Craig" <Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>

013064

05/03/2006 11:56 AM

To

psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject

Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Hi Peg. I am sitting here with Cameron Quinn putting together this year's ballt conference for AUSAs. She send her best!

I am available on 5/18. But I am also going to the Board of Advisors Meeting the following week. I would rather do this then.

-----  
Sent from Dr. D's Fabulous BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

-----Original Message-----

From: psims@eac.gov <psims@eac.gov>

To: Donsanto, Craig <Craig.Donsanto@crm.usdoj.gov>

Sent: Wed May 03 11:39:50 2006

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Craig:

We are continuing our efforts to hone in on a date for the Working Group meeting. Are you available the afternoon of Thursday, May 18?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 01:34 PM

To "Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject RE: Fraud Definition [REDACTED]

Lets raise this issue at the meeting. (I'll add "DRAFT" to the current document.) My concern is that there are a number of requirements in the Voting Rights Act. Not all of them are considered election fraud, when violated. For example, failure to preclear changes in election procedures is not treated as election fraud, though it is actionable. --- Peggy

013065

>  
>  
> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/11/2006 03:49 PM  
>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> Re: Literature Summary  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> I resent the review as you see at the bottom. When I  
> opened it and sent it there was no corrupted text.  
>  
>  
>  
> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:  
>  
> > Do you have text to replace the corrupted text in  
> > paragraph 4? --- Peggy  
> >  
> >

013066



> >  
> >  
> > "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> > 05/11/2006 03:17 PM  
> >  
> > To  
> > psims@eac.gov  
> > cc  
> >  
> > Subject  
> > Re: Literature Summary  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> >  
> > --- psims@eac.gov wrote:  
> >  
> > > Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of  
> > The  
> > > Federal Crime of  
> > > Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is  
> > > something wrong in the fourth  
> > > paragraph (odd characters and missing text).  
> > Can  
> > > you please send a  
> > > replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it  
> > in  
> > > an email and I will  
> > > place it in the document. --- Peggy  
> >  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/03/2006 01:48 PM

To psims@eac.gov, [REDACTED]

cc dromig@eac.gov

Subject Re: Working Group Meeting

Peggy:

I expect that since Norcross can't make it either you will try to get Rogers or cut one of Tova's folks.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

013067

> Job and Tova:  
>  
> As of now, the afternoon of Thursday, May 18 appears  
> to be the best  
> possible date for the meeting. Norcross is not  
> available to attend in  
> person that day (he is available only 2 days during  
> the first three weeks  
> of May). We won't have confirmation of the  
> availability of Secretary  
> Rokita until tomorrow --- but I am hopeful.  
>  
> I'll give you an update tomorrow. Maybe we can  
> schedule a teleconference  
> on Monday afternoon. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]

05/08/2006 11:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Working Group

Peggy:

What political party is Perez with? How political is he? Is the position in Texas neutral or political? Who appointed Perez?

As to Pat I will contact him but I can't promise anything. If Pat can't come, who is getting knocked off Tova's list?

Job



Subject RE: Literature Summary

We accidentally left it out when we emailed all the summaries

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 1:09 PM

**To:** serebrov@sbcglobal.net

**Cc:** wang@tcf.org

**Subject:** Literature Summary

Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of The Federal Crime of Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is something wrong in the fourth paragraph (odd characters and missing text). Can you please send a replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it in an email and I will place it in the document. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/11/2006 03:45 PM

To "Job Serebrov" [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
cc

Subject RE: new working group representative

He is representing Barbara Arnwine, and we have already established we are not disinviting anyone. We still don't know about Ginsburg yet anyway, right?

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Job Serebrov

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 2:36 PM

**To:** Tova Wang; psims@eac.gov

**Cc:**

**Subject:** Re: new working group representative

I have an objection to Greenbaum. While I realize he comes from an advocacy group, he is not a minority attorney and we already have a rep who worked with DOJ. If it is to be Greenbaum, I would rather not fill that position since I am one down.

--- Tova Wang > wrote:

> is Jon Greenbaum

>

> Here' s his info in full:

>

> <http://www.lawyerscommittee.org/2005website/aboutus/staff/staffgreenbaum.htm>

> 1

>

> He is the Director of the Voting Rights Project for

> the Lawyers Committee

013069

> for Civil Rights. He will be representing Barbara  
> Arnwine, the Executive  
> Director of the Lawyers Committee.  
>  
> His contact and mailing info is:  
>  
> jgreenbaum@lawyerscommittee.org  
> 202-662-8315  
> 1401 New York Avenue, NW  
> Suite 400  
> Washington, DC 20005  
>  
>  
>  
> Tova Andrea Wang  
> Democracy Fellow  
> The Century Foundation  
> 41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
> phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534  
>  
> Visit our Web site, <<http://www.tcf.org/>>  
> [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news,  
> analysis, opinions, and events.  
>  
> \_\_\_\_\_  
>  
> <<mailto:join-tcfmain@mailhost.groundspring.org>>  
> Click here to receive our  
> weekly e-mail updates.  
>  
>  
>

—— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM ——



"Joh Serebroy"

05/11/2006 03:49 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Literature Summary

I resent the review as you see at the bottom. When I  
opened it and sent it there was no corrupted text.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Do you have text to replace the corrupted text in  
> paragraph 4? --- Peggy  
>  
>  
>

013070

>  
> "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
> 05/11/2006 03:17 PM [REDACTED]  
>  
> To  
> psims@eac.gov  
> cc  
>  
> Subject  
> Re: Literature Summary  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:  
>  
> > Tova just sent me the summary you prepared of The  
> > Federal Crime of  
> > Election Fraud by Craig Donsanto. There is  
> > something wrong in the fourth  
> > paragraph (odd characters and missing text). Can  
> > you please send a  
> > replacement fourth paragraph? You can send it in  
> > an email and I will  
> > place it in the document. --- Peggy  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/05/2006 01:59 PM

To "J. R. Perez" [REDACTED]

cc

Subject Re: Blo for Perez [REDACTED]

Thanks, J.R. Great to have you on board! We will get back to you shortly regarding travel arrangements. The meeting materials will be sent by Federal Express next week.

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: psims@eac.gov

"J. R. Perez" [REDACTED]



"J. R. Perez" [REDACTED]

psims@eac.gov

013071



05/05/2006 01:23 PM

To  
cc  
Subject Bio for Perez

Hi Peggy, it was nice talking with you today and I would be glad to try and add to the discussion. I am attaching a brief bio and will await your instructions for the travel arrangements. I look forward to receiving the current information on panel issues.

J.R. Perez  
Elections Administrator  
Guadalupe County



bio 5\_5\_06.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Weinberg and Utrecht "

05/05/2006 12:27 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

5201 Roosevelt St.  
Bethesda, MD 20814

----- Original Message -----

From: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)  
To: [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, May 05, 2006 10:56 AM  
Subject: Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

Would you please provide an address to which we can Federal Express materials before the meeting? ---  
Peg

"Weinberg and Utrecht "

05/04/2006 01:34 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)  
cc  
Subject Re: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

013072

that would be fine

----- Original Message -----

From: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

To: [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, May 04, 2006 1:08 PM

Subject: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation

Barry:

It appears that the afternoon of Thursday, May 18 is best for a meeting of the working group. I know you said you would not be available in the morning that day. If we started at 1 PM, would that be too soon for you?

Peggy Sims  
Election Research Specialist  
U.S. Election Assistance Commission  
1225 New York Ave, NW - Ste 1100  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone: 866-747-1471 (toll free) or 202-566-3120 (direct)  
Fax: 202-566-3127  
email: [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Job Serabrov"

[REDACTED]  
05/12/2006 02:52 PM

To [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov)

cc

Subject Re: Working Group List

List a vacancy---to be filled. If we don't hear from Ginsberg by late afternoon please call Braden.

Job

--- [psims@eac.gov](mailto:psims@eac.gov) wrote:

> Job:

>

> What do you suggest I do with the list of Working  
> Group members. I need  
> to get the Fed Ex packages out by the end of the  
> day, and have not heard  
> back from Ginsberg. Do you want me to list a

013073

> vacancy, or list Norcross  
> with a note that he cannot attend? If we find a  
> substitute, we can always  
> provide an updated list next Thursday. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



[REDACTED]  
05/13/2006 09:10 AM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
Subject research summary

In the middle of the night I got the feeling that you may be right, that I did do a summary of the existing literature review (that Job, you approved) . I'll have to look for it on Monday (unless I go into the office over the weekend, which is possible). I may be hallucinating, but if not, I'll just present it at the meeting rather than try to get it to them ahead of time. Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/04/2006 05:47 PM

To wang@tcf.org@GSAEXTERNAL

cc

Subject Re: wg [REDACTED]

Tova:

Rokita is available --- so the afternoon of May 18 it is. I will not disinvite anyone. I am trying to get Job's next choice (Pat Rogers) as a replacement for Norcross.

Monday appears to be out for a teleconference because Job will be unavailable that afternoon and I am scheduled for something else that morning. I'll check my schedule tomorrow and send a message to you and Job regarding other possible days and times. --- Peggy

[REDACTED]



[REDACTED]  
05/04/2006 05:21 PM

To psims@eac.gov  
cc  
Subject wg

Hi Peg,

Just wondering if you had any word from Rokita. Also, I wanted to let you know that I think disinventing members of the working group would be a very unwise and frankly embarrassing way of dealing with the

013074



problem of getting 100% attendance. I'm sure we'll talk before any decisions are made. As I said, I'm free on Monday. Thanks. Tova

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/12/2006 03:22 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Fraud Definition

I would give him until Monday morning but I would also call Braden today and tell him there may be an opening for him on the WG and find out whether he is free.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I have placed another call to his office (after one  
> previous call to his  
> assistant and an email to him). I, too, am  
> concerned about our dwindling  
> chances. --- Peggy

>

>

>

>

> "Job Serebrov"

> 05/12/2006 03:06 PM

>

> To

> psims@eac.gov

> cc

>

> Subject

> Re: Fraud Definition

>

>

>

>

>

>

> Given the short time period, you may want to give  
> Ginsberg a deadline. The longer we wait, the poorer  
> our chances are of getting Braden.

>

> --- psims@eac.gov wrote:

>

> > I am reluctant to invite Braden until after I have  
> > received a "No" from

> > Ginsberg. --- Peg

> >

> >

> >

> >

> > "Job Serebrov"

> > 05/12/2006 02:33 PM

013075



"Donsanto, Craig"  
<Craig.Donsanto@usdoj.gov>  
v>  
05/11/2006 02:39 PM

To psims@eac.gov, "Mitchell, Cynthia"  
<Cynthia.Mitchell@usdoj.gov>  
cc "Simmons, Nancy" <Nancy.Simmons@usdoj.gov>  
Subject RE: Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group

Cindy - -

Can you please check the accuracy of these figures - - which you recall we gave to the EAC a month or so ago - - to ensure that they are up-to-date?

I believe we have had several public events that have taken place since we gave them the Public Fraud List a few weeks ago.

---

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 2:35 PM  
**To:** Donsanto, Craig  
**Subject:** Voting Fraud-Voter Intimidation Working Group  
**Importance:** High

Craig:

I think we have resolved the issue of Barbara Arnwine's absence from the upcoming meeting by having one of her staff represent her (and her organization). Please review the attached rough summary of DOJ

Cases ASAP and let me know if I need to delete reference to the open investigations. Hopefully, we won't have to remove this information as it does not specify the defendants or States involved. --- Peg

**Rough Summary of Department of Justice, Public Integrity Section Activities, October 2002-January 2006**

**Prosecutions and Convictions-- Individuals**

Noncitizen voting: 20  
Vote buying: 49  
Double voting: 12  
Registration fraud: 13  
Civil Rights: 4  
Voter Intimidation: 2  
Unclear: 1

**Open Investigations** (note: a few cases overlap with prosecutions and convictions)

Noncitizen voting: 3  
Vote buying: 25  
Double voting: 15  
Registration fraud: 29  
Absentee ballot fraud: 9  
Official: 8  
Ineligibles: 4  
Deceptive Practices: 1  
Civil Rights: 14  
Intimidation: 6  
Other: 2

**Cases and Investigations Closed for Lack of Evidence**

Civil Rights: 8  
Official: 12  
Registration Fraud: 12  
Absentee Ballot Fraud: 14  
Ineligible Voting: 3  
Intimidation: 8  
Double Voting: 5  
Ballot Box Stuffing: 1  
Vote Buying: 14  
Ballot/machine tampering: 2  
Other: 8  
Unclear: 3

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

013077



05/09/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting

FYI

--- "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED] wrote:

> Subject: RE: Working Group meeting  
> Date: Tue, 9 May 2006 07:42:44 -0600  
> From: "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED]  
> To: "Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]  
>  
> Job---maybe. I will call you and/or Ms. Sims  
> tomorrow. Depositions all  
> day today. Thanks, Pat  
>  
> What's the best number to call you tomorrow?  
>  
>  
> Patrick J. Rogers  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
> P.O. Box 2168  
> Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168  
> Tel: 505-848-1849  
> Fax: 505-848-1891  
>  
>  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Job Serebrov [mailto:serebrov@sbcglobal.net]  
> Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:41 PM  
> To: Patrick J. Rogers  
> Subject: Working Group meeting  
>  
> Pat:  
>  
> The working group meeting for the voter fraud  
> project is scheduled for  
> May 18th in DC but David Norcross can't attend.  
> Could you come? If so,  
> we need to arrange travel and a hotel for you.  
>  
> Regards,  
>  
> Job  
>

-----  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
>  
-----

> THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE  
> INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO  
> WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION  
> THAT IS PRIVILEGED,  
> CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER

013078

> APPLICABLE LAW. If the  
> reader of this message is not the intended recipient  
> or agent  
> responsible for delivering the message to the  
> intended recipient, you  
> are hereby notified that any dissemination or  
> copying of this  
> communication is strictly prohibited. If you have  
> received this  
> electronic transmission in error, please delete it  
> from your system  
> without copying it, and notify the sender by reply  
> e-mail or by calling  
> 505.848.1800, so that our address record can be  
> corrected. Thank you.  
>  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims /EAC/GOV

05/09/2006 11:16 AM

To "Job Serebrov"

cc [REDACTED]

Subject Re: Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting [REDACTED]

I had a voice mail message from him on Monday. I called him back but had to leave a voice mail message (telephone tag). If you hear from him and he is willing and able to come, I need to know this. We need to have him call our travel service to make travel arrangements ASAP. Thanks. --- Peggy

"Job Serebrov" [REDACTED]



"Job Serebrov"

05/09/2006 10:46 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Fwd: RE: Working Group meeting

FYI

--- "Patrick J. Rogers" [REDACTED] wrote:

> Subject: RE: Working Group meeting  
> Date: Tue, 9 May 2006 07:42:44 -0600  
> From: "Patrick J. Rogers" <patrogers@modrall.com>  
> To: "Job Serebrov" <serebrov@sbcglobal.net>  
>  
> Job---maybe. I will call you and/or Ms. Sims  
> tomorrow. Depositions all  
> day today. Thanks, Pat

013079

>  
> What's the best number to call you tomorrow?

>  
> Patrick J. Rogers  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
> P.O. Box 2168  
> Albuquerque, NM 87103-2168  
> Tel: 505-848-1849  
> Fax: 505-848-1891

>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Job Serebrov [mailto: ]  
> Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:41 PM  
> To: Patrick J. Rogers  
> Subject: Working Group meeting

> Pat:

>  
> The working group meeting for the voter fraud  
> project is scheduled for  
> May 18th in DC but David Norcross can't attend.  
> Could you come? If so,  
> we need to arrange travel and a hotel for you.

> Regards,

> Job

-----  
> Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.  
>

-----  
> THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE  
> INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO  
> WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION  
> THAT IS PRIVILEGED,  
> CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER  
> APPLICABLE LAW. If the  
> reader of this message is not the intended recipient  
> or agent  
> responsible for delivering the message to the  
> intended recipient, you  
> are hereby notified that any dissemination or  
> copying of this  
> communication is strictly prohibited. If you have  
> received this  
> electronic transmission in error, please delete it  
> from your system  
> without copying it, and notify the sender by reply  
> e-mail or by calling  
> 505.848.1800, so that our address record can be  
> corrected. Thank you.

013080

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 05/09/2006 11:38 AM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/08/2006 09:30 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Case Summaries

Peggy:

please add this to the packet.

013081



Job Case Summaries.doc

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 03:22 PM

To Job Serebrov, Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Good News

Ginsberg has accepted our invitation! --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Job Serebrov"

05/11/2006 10:16 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject Re: Today's Teleconference

The teleconference is on. However, I am still one person down for the meeting and I am not comfortable. This will have to be discussed since from the start it was agreed that the WG would be equal and if I lost a person Tova would have to loose one. Further and most importantly, I don't yet have a hotel so my attendance is still up in the air. Finally, the agenda is not what we discussed and gives far too much time for areas that can be covered in a short time. Not listed are all of the questions that Tova's proposed agenda had. All in all, it needs to be redone.

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> I assume that we are still on for today's  
> teleconference at 11 AM EST. I  
> will call you. I have attached a draft agenda for  
> your review and  
> comment. --- Peggy  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/08/2006 10:18 AM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Working Group

013082



I am more than happy to attend in person

-----Original Message-----

From: Job Serebrov [mailto: [REDACTED]]  
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2006 9:15 AM  
To: psims@eac.gov; [REDACTED]  
Subject: Re: Working Group

Peggy:

4:00 eastern on Tuesday is fine however, given the financial restrictions that you indicated would be in place for use of my car (I would actually loose money coming to DC) and given the cost of hotels at this time (I can't afford to front these costs and wait for months to be repaid), etc, it would take a miracle for this working group meeting to take place in person. It is looking like the only way it will get done is by teleconference. I also share Tova's concern about the unknown nature of Mr. Perez.

Job

--- psims@eac.gov wrote:

> Hi, Folks:  
>  
> Teleconference  
> Are both of you available for a teleconference next  
> Tuesday afternoon at  
> about 4 PM EST? If this does not work for you,  
> please suggest another  
> date and/or time. I would like to discuss our  
> preparations for the  
> Working Group meeting.  
>  
> Working Group Members  
> We have a very good person to fill the slot for the nonpartisan local  
> election official: J.R. Perez, Elections  
> Administrator for Guadalupe  
> County, TX. Attached is his bio. Hope you have no  
> objections to him. He  
> is available on May 18. I have place 2 calls to Pat  
> Rogers office, but  
> have not yet received a reply. Job, if you have any  
> pull with him, you  
> may want to contact him, too.  
>  
> Travel Arrangements  
> You should make your own travel arrangements,  
> including hotel. Travel  
> time cannot be billed to the contract, except for  
> hours actually worked on  
> the contract (i.e.; reviewing materials in  
> preparation for the meeting,  
> and the like). Current Federal rates follow:  
>

013083

> Maximum Lodging = \$180 per day- does not include  
> hotel taxes (if you  
> cannot get this rate, we have covered reasonable  
> rates that are a little  
> higher)  
> Meals & Incidentals = \$64 per day (except that it is  
> \$48 on the first and  
> last day of travel)  
> Mileage for Personally Owned Vehicle = \$ .445 per  
> mile  
>  
> Under the new contract, I do not have to fill out a  
> travel authorization  
> for you. I can approve your trip via email.  
> Afterwards, when you turn in  
> your next pay voucher, you can attach the airline  
> receipt (or mileage  
> documentation), hotel receipt(s), and ground  
> transportation receipts and a  
> copy of any printed itineraries. Calculate the  
> total travel expenses due  
> you, including applicable per diem. I do not need  
> meal receipts.  
>  
> Job, under Federal travel regulations, deviations  
> for personal reasons are  
> not normally accommodated. What you can do,  
> however, is to give me a  
> comparison of the cost of roundtrip mileage, hotel,  
> and per diem of doing  
> it your way against the cost of a roundtrip flight,  
> ground transportation,  
> hotel, and per diem. If your way costs less, it  
> should be no problem to  
> cover the full cost. If your way is more expensive,  
> we may only pay up to  
> the amount of traditional travel. (The same rules  
> apply to me when I  
> travel.) If you can tell me where, other than DC,  
> you will spend the  
> night, I can check on applicable per diem rates.  
>  
> Peggy  
>  
>

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/09/2006 05:28 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject arnwine

013084

She definitely cannot do it. Would you please find out if Wade Henderson would be possible? Now its my turn to be upset!!! Thanks.

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----

Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV

05/12/2006 02:46 PM

To Job Serebrov

cc

Subject Working Group List

Job:

What do you suggest I do with the list of Working Group members. I need to get the Fed Ex packages out by the end of the day, and have not heard back from Ginsberg. Do you want me to list a vacancy, or list Norcross with a note that he cannot attend? If we find a substitute, we can always provide an updated list next Thursday. --- Peggy

----- Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM -----



"Tova Wang"

05/11/2006 04:25 PM

To psims@eac.gov

cc

Subject RE: Material I may not have included

news article review

-----Original Message-----

**From:** psims@eac.gov [mailto:psims@eac.gov]

**Sent:** Thursday, May 11, 2006 3:23 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** Re: Material I may not have included

Would these go under literature review or news article review? --- Peggy

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

013085

"Tova Wang" [REDACTED]

05/11/2006 01:30 PM

To psims@eac.gov, dromig@eac.gov

cc

Subject research summaries

013026

I have the feeling we didn't include these in the original batch I sent you. Could you double check and if not, would you please include them in the existing research materials? Sorry and thanks. I'm kind of doing all of this on my own in case you couldn't tell. List is coming...

Tova Andrea Wang  
Democracy Fellow  
**The Century Foundation**  
41 East 70th Street - New York, NY 10021  
phone: 212-452-7704 fax: 212-535-7534

Visit our Web site, [www.tcf.org](http://www.tcf.org), for the latest news, analysis, opinions, and events.

---

[Click here](#) to receive our weekly e-mail updates.

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM —

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**

05/11/2006 11:16 AM

To Job Serebrov, Tova Andrea Wang

cc

Subject Rev Agenda for Working Group Meeting



Agenda 5-18-06 Mtg.doc

— Forwarded by Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV on 11/29/2006 01:48 PM —

**Margaret Sims/EAC/GOV**

05/09/2006 02:48 PM

To Adam Ambrogi/EAC/GOV

cc

Subject Fw: Working Group-Perez